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## A generalization of Apollonius' theorem

## A. J. DOUGLAS

It follows at once from Pythagoras' theorem about a right-angled triangle that the sum of the squares of the lengths of the diagonals of a rectangle is equal to the sum of the squares of the lengths of the four sides. Apollonius showed that the assertion holds for a parallelogram and, more recently, Amir-Moez and Hamilton [1] gave a generalization to quadrilaterals by introducing a correction term which depends on the distance between the mid-points of the diagonals. In fact, they prove that if $A B C D$ is a quadrilateral and $M, N$ are the mid-points of the diagonals, then

$$
(A B)^{2}+(B C)^{2}+(C D)^{2}+(D A)^{2}=(A C)^{2}+(B D)^{2}+4(M N)^{2}
$$



We now carry the generalization a stage further. Let $E$ denote $n$-dimensional Euclidean space with inner (or scalar) product (, ) and usual norm III; so that if $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, then

$$
(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=x_{1} y_{1}+\ldots+x_{n} y_{n}
$$

and

$$
\|\boldsymbol{x}\|=\mathscr{G}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x})=\sqrt{ }\left(x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

If $A, B$ are points in $E$ and $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ are the corresponding vectors relative to some origin, then the distance $A B$ from $A$ to $B$ is defined to be $\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{a}\|$, so that

$$
(A B)^{2}=\|\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{a}\|^{2}=(\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{a})=(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{b})+(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a})-2(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{a})
$$

by the bilinearity of the inner product.

Suppose now that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{2 k}$ are points of $E$ and that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 k}$ are the corresponding vectors. Let $B, C$ denote respectively the orthocentres of the $k$-gons $A_{2} A_{4} \ldots A_{2 k}, A_{1} A_{3} \ldots A_{2 k-1}$, i.e. $B, C$ are the points with vectors

$$
\frac{1}{k}\left(a_{2}+a_{4}+\ldots+a_{2 k}\right), \frac{1}{k}\left(a_{1}+a_{3}+\ldots+a_{2 k-1}\right)
$$

We denote by $S$ the sum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(A_{2 k-1} A_{2 k}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2 k} A_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& -\left(A_{1} A_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{2} A_{4}\right)^{2}-\ldots-\left(A_{2 k-1} A_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{2 k} A_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(A_{1} A_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} A_{5}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(A_{2 k-1} A_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2 k} A_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& -\ldots \\
& \left.+(-1)^{k}\left\{-A_{1} A_{k}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} A_{k+1}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(A_{2 k-1} A_{k-2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2 k} A_{k-1}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +(-1)^{k+1}\left\{\left(A_{1} A_{k+1}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} A_{k+2}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(A_{k} A_{2 k}\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Notice that the last row contains only $k$ summands.)

THEOREM. $S=k^{2}(B C)^{2}$.

PROOF. Interpreting $a_{p}$ as $a_{p-2 k}$ when $p>2 k$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left\{(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 k}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{i+j}-\boldsymbol{a}_{i}, \boldsymbol{a}_{i+j}-\boldsymbol{a}_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& +(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(a_{i+k}-a_{i}, a_{i+k}-a_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2 k}\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)-2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left\{(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 k}\left(a_{i+j}, a_{i}\right)\right\}-2(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\mathbf{a}_{i+k}, \mathbf{a}_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 k}(-1)^{i+1} a_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{2 k}(-1)^{i+1} a_{i}\right)=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{2 k}(-1)^{i+1} a_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =k^{2}\left\|\frac{a_{1}+a_{3}+\ldots+a_{2 k-1}}{k}-\frac{a_{2}+a_{4}+\ldots+a_{2 k}}{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& =k^{2}(B C)^{2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

sPecial cases. The case $k=2$ is that given by Amir-Moez and Hamilton. For $k=3$, we have


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} A_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{3} A_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{4} A_{5}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{5} A_{6}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{6} A_{1}\right)^{2} \\
- & \left(A_{1} A_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{2} A_{4}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{3} A_{5}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{4} A_{6}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{5} A_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(A_{6} A_{2}\right)^{2} \\
+ & \left(A_{1} A_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{2} A_{5}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{3} A_{6}\right)^{2} \\
= & 9(B C)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remarks on the Proof of the Theorem

1. The Proof holds for any inner product space.
2. The points $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{2 k}$ can be taken quite arbitrarily; in particular, they need not be distinct or coplanar, and, if they are coplanar, then the polygon $A_{1} \ldots A_{2 k}$ need not be convex.
Apollonius' theorem says that $S=0$ for parallelograms, but it is easy to find hexagons with
(a) opposite sides equal or
(b) alternate sides equal or
(c) opposite sides parallel
and $S \neq 0$ in each case.
We conclude by describing the construction of a general class of $2 k$-gons for which $S=0$. Take $k$ points in $E$ with vectors $a_{2}, a_{4}, \ldots, a_{2 k}$, say, let $\lambda_{1}$, $\ldots, \lambda_{k}$ be real numbers with $\lambda_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{k}=1$ and put

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=\lambda_{1} a_{2}+\lambda_{2} a_{4}+\ldots+\lambda_{k} a_{2 k} \\
a_{3}=\lambda_{1} a_{4}+\lambda_{2} a_{6}+\ldots+\lambda_{k} a_{2} \\
\vdots \\
a_{2 k-1}=\lambda_{1} a_{2 k}+\lambda_{2} a_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{k} a_{2 k-2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Adding gives

$$
a_{1}+a_{3}+\ldots a_{2 k-1}=a_{2}+a_{4}+\ldots+a_{2 k}
$$

Thus, the orthocentres $B, C$ coincide and it follows from the Theorem that $S=0$.
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## Cyclic polygons and related questions

D. S. MACNAB

This is the story of a problem that began in an innocent way and in the course of time spread out in unexpected directions involving some interesting side-issues. It all began with the following problem which I constructed for the Mathematical Challenge competition run by the Scottish Mathematical Council.

## Problem 1



Given three lines of lengths $p, q, r$, where $p<q<r$, arrange them to form the sides $A B, B C, C D$ of a quadrilateral as shown, (with right angles at $B$ and $C$ ), so that the quadrilateral $A B C D$ has maximum area. [The solution is

